

7th November 1894

21097

BURLTONS,

DONHEAD,

SALISBURY.

Sir I beg to acknowledge the receipt
of your letter this morning addressed
to the Privy Councillor - Sir Lucy
Ward was handed to me at the
Sessions Hall this afternoon -

I agree with you in thinking
that if the young men had have been
charged with a misdemeanour only,
substantial justice might have been
done. But - as I read the Act 24.
25 Vict c. 97 - the Section (16) which
makes it an offence to freeze a felon,
includes the offence from the category
of misdemeanour. I should be glad to
find myself mistaken on this point but
I entertain no doubt that the law is as
I have stated.

I am your obedient Servt
F. Dunston

for I think you
will be interested
in knowing how
exactly in shape they
follow the old lines:

but ^{are} improved by using
hard steel, & have
a barb on each tang.

I hope soon to be
going out to Grindelwald

if there were any
Swiss implements
you desire to have
it would give me
pleasure to try &
obtain them for the
Museum.

A year ago we laid
water mains along the
streets of this village.
The main street is, as
you are aware, the
Roman road from

a defect in the law if an offence of
this sort is bound to be sent for trial
as arson. for such a serious offence
a Judge & Jury will not convict &
evidence is very difficult to be obtained.
the punishment should be proportionate
to the offence. If a conviction for a
misdemeanor with a proportionate punishment
cannot be obtained. it is virtually
turning the common into private land
upon which any body can do as they
please. I very much hope therefore
that if ~~the~~ these men are convicted
you will be able to deal with the
case & not send it on for trial.

Telegraph,
Collard, Shilts.

(1097)

Rushmore,
Salisbury.

Nov 7. '94.

Dear Col Best

Now. young men were
apprehended by the Tollard policeman
for setting fire to the trees on Tollard
green on the 5th Nov. It was repealed
for years past, from notice that persons
so doing would be prosecuted. It is
very dangerous and in dry weather
might do a great deal of mischief
My kennels on Woodcote common were
burnt to the ground a year or two
ago with the dogs in them & they
were rescued with great difficulty. It

was evidently an incendiar. My
tenant's houses have also been burnt
some time ago by an incendiar. It
would be absurd after all this, when
the police do their duty to forgive
the men, as they could not be relied
upon to do their duty in future. I am
therefore bound to send the case on.
but it is simply a case on the 5th
of November & ought not to be treated
as a case of arson. The policeman
tells me he has good evidence against
all four. There can be no doubt -
I think that the grazing goose was
pissed that they took great trouble
to let it on fire and one of them had
a plot of far for the purpose as it was
very difficult to light, in this damp
weather. Three of them are being sentenced

and Lucifer match boxes on them
and one, Prince, had a rabbit red
in his pocket. so poaching may have
had something to do with it.
I am now told that Arnold came
up to me of his own accord to
apologise which I was not told at
the time. I believe he is a
respectable boy & I should like to
set him off if possible.

Several men were prosecuted for the
same offence last year, but the
magistrates would not settle the case &
they were sent for trial on a charge
of arson. The evidence against them was
of the clearest possible character, but the
judge charged the jury to let them off
if they could find any excuse for doing
so, and they were acquitted. It is obviously