

"under the circumstances be almost
"a foregone conclusion, I don't mean
"to say that success in resisting
"these other cases would be any-
"thing like assured, but the chances
"would be better than in the
"present case to : If we pay the
"present claim (£8) under protest
"as to its justice, it would go far
"to nullify anything that could be
"said on the matter of our not
"appealing so far as my inference
"of negligence is concerned : -

(B58)

Sturminster Newton,
Blandford. 30th Sept 1884

Dear General Pitt-Rivers,

I find that the costs of
an appeal to the Quarter Sessions
would amount at the least to
about £70 in the London practice.

As to the own appealing
not prejudicing an appeal upon
any future contest as regards the
other words which were abandoned
the other day, Mr. Steamer writes

"Of course there is always certain
room for discretion when a
judgment is apparently purely
reversed in, but the answer in
this case would seem to be, that
as the Board abandoned the 2
other claims at the Hearing it was
not thought worth while to go to
the expense of an appeal for
such a trifle as £8-. When
however the other claims were removed,
& it turned out that really it
became a question of a considerable

"amount payment, the magnitude
of the impost, in addition to its
injustice gave rise to the effort
to get it removed, as I began
there is something to be said about
not appealing in the first case,
but it does not amount to much
it is open to the reply before
suggested and I would rather
fight the other cases & appeal
if necessary as regards them,
than risk an appeal in the
present case, which would often

I must say as I mentioned
on Saturday I quite agree
with Mr. Greene in the
matter and we have come
to the decision not to take
any step as to appeal but let
the Board proceed with the
other cases, should you think
otherwise kindly let Mr. Greene
or myself hear to that effect

by action of poet as the time
is getting on.

In remm

Your very obedt. Servt

A. Steele