

been thrown out. The healing
process must have been at-
tended with a great deal of
suppuration & recovery must
have taken a long time.
You will find that the
tibia of the same foot has
been fractured.

Enclose the measurements of the
Pelvis from Woodgate's skull
you may like to add to your other
measurements of the bones.

I have recently examined an in-
teresting set of skulls dredged from
the Thames apparently of early date

I am

Yours very truly

J. G. Garson

Genl Pitt Rivers F.R.S.

14 Suffolk Street
Pall Mall SW
23 July 1890

(L650)

Dear General Pitt Rivers

I regret I have been
quite unable to let you
know about the paper earlier
I have been deeply engaged
with examinations and have
had a large number of papers
to correct - each set in a prom-
tue. I cannot possibly at-
tend the meeting at Denses
but will do my best to
have ready for you a few

notes on the specimens found
at Woodgate. I would be
be obliged if Mr. James
could send me a copy
of the various skull measurements
you have made so that
I may be able to compare
these skull measurements
with those of Woodcuts or
Rotherly. I have all my
notes ready comparing the
several form of the skulls
from the three places, and
I have compared the drawings
but I would feel surer if I saw the

table of measurements & compared
them also.

I send you back the foot bones
of No 3 skeleton I think. I have
gone over them with Mr. F.S.
Eve F.R.C.S. the Pathological Curator
of the College of Surgeons Museum
and we feel quite sure that
the history of the case is that
the person to whom the foot
belonged lost the anterior
part of it. As a result there
was inflammation set up
& the ^{bones of the} remaining part
have been affected, parts of them
~~bones~~ became necrosed and
a good deal of new bone has