

FRAZER

(58)

Melbourne.

Sept 8. 03.

My dear Frazer

By this mail I

am sending home the part so far as column ~~76~~ 168. I have worked over it several times & hope that there are not many mistakes left uncorrected.

The number of corrections are (or is) rather formidable & I am beginning to think that it would be a good thing for me to go back to school again & endeavour to try & learn how to write decent English. Amongst the natives you get into the habit of writing a kind of 'pidgin English' which is quite understandable to yourself & also very expressive but which at the same time is not exactly English. Also words such as 'billabong' which means a 'back water' on a creek are so common & well understood out here that one forgets that the average reader will have no idea as to what they mean.

Except in the case of a very few words

and as Alvaro & Cluniza I
have faithfully used tj for ch. The
tj is just as good + ch needn't be a
great trial to a foreigner. All though
I have adopted the Italian pronunciation
for words in fact I have attempted
to write all native words so that one
foreigner would know how to pronounce
them.

They are accentuated in
the German. Even when dealing
with written material sent to me by
pilots I have been puzzled as to
whether the cl. was like ch in Church
or like sounded like R + therefore
determined to avoid it. I hope you
haven't very strong feelings on the matter.

I have as you suggested added
certain things and have put that
Kathik 'boring' business in there
place. Very many thanks for
drawing my attention to it: I cannot
thank you enough for it.

Put in an early letter about fresh

eating the bacon is wrong + was
conceded in a subsequent letter.

In the future I have already
drawn attention to me or two of the
point you suggest such as that of
no clothing.

In regard to the custom after
outdoor dinner of the grain seed) of
turning out the seed from the mouth:
the idea is certainly that of making
the seed on the way 'jump off' but
it is supposed to be a magical thing
and I do not think they have
any actual idea that the actual
seed which they spit out will germinate:
the action is probably to make the
gas from all over the country of
course they themselves have not the
faintest idea of saying seeds or of
agriculture even in the remotest degree
but of course it is conceivable that
some kind of another in the history of
Mexican agriculture perhaps
slaves may have & while that some
seeds thus thrown out of magic

purposes did actually germinate & may thus have started on an agricultural career but this is beyond the present Australian native.

You ask whether Howitt & myself are inclined to the view that the rise of the exogamic system in Australia is the result of deliberate institution. I am & I believe Howitt also to be h. of this opinion.

Lang in his "Soil Orgn." p 62. makes from a statement of mine published I think in the J. A. I. thus "It can only be said that far back in the early history of mankind there was felt the need of some form of organisation and that this gradually resulted in the development of exogamous groups."

August Steen Knij, Lang says, that the bisection of my "theory" could not have been "gradual". I ought more properly to have said "this gradually resulted in the development of the exogamous groups as we know them now" or perhaps have left out the word "gradual". However this is not perhaps a very serious matter & the rest of Lang's criticism is jocular. The more one gets to know of the tribes the more

FRAZER SB

3

Nurburra

Sept 8.03

one is driven to the conclusion
that the organisation is the result
of deliberate intention though of course
definite proof of this cannot be given.

The traditions are of course
of any value historically but perhaps
they add some value as showing
that the natives do not regard such
a deliberate action as beyond the
power or outside the scope of work
of some of their ancestors and then
at the present day we have not
only the deliberate arrangement made
to fit so as to allow of the systems
of different tribes fitting in to one
another (Arunta + Warburra,
Birringa + Mara) but also the
deliberate adoption of names for the
eight divisions which is now going
on in the Arunta tribe.

Durkheim's criticisms on which Lang
lays stress are to a considerable extent

Based upon a consideration of
the real facts.

The history of the Red men is
well known & you suggest I
will draw attention to this again though
it is discussed in the intro. chapter
also in the other book. Horritt also
deals with it in another you may
have seen.

In the next home body are
additions dealing with Indianism
in the Wabuna and also Sutitation.
I am convinced that in different
tribe in different parts we have
various elements in regard to customs
& beliefs in varying stages of development.
There is no such thing as an all
round "Ponotis" tribe.

Any tribe such as the Dieri &
Wabuna may have retained a
more primitive form of organization
than another group and as the

Arunta & Nauhik but at the same
time the latter may be more
primitive in regard to other matters
such as initiation. In addition
to this when you come to investigate
matter more closely even (about
prostitutionally primitive form of
maternal descent) the Dieri etc are
much more complicated in their
organization than appears on the surface.

Mather & Kivara are well divided
into groups equivalent to the subclans
of the Arunta though they have no
names for them. You put the old
tale of the danger of drawing any
conclusion from separate evidence.
One could never have suspected
that a maternal descent rule would
have such complicated ideas with
regard to reincarnation as the
Wabuna tribe has.

A letter from Dr Taylor Kew said: I
asked Macmillan to send him
proof but he did not make any

criticisms except those I perceive
inherent in the work - what I much
fear cannot be avoided - the
intricacy of the organization matters.
I am afraid there is nothing for it
but to let people get tied up in knots
& tear their hair over this want of
the business.

I hope you & Mr. Frazer are
well & wish I could have an hour
or two with you.

Yours sincerely

W. Baldwin Spencer.

FRAZER
58

Correction to be made
on page 58.

On slip 6^{lined} for "Banta
liliaria" read -
"Bata auricinnia"

It will read "but they are sometimes
referred to under the name of Bata
auricinnia which means "the people who
dwell on hard ground";