

I did not much like Lang's  
that article by Lang  
in wh. he referred to his  
made much of the subject of the  
autopomorphia, my wh. his  
wh. also + indicates several ideas  
in the Zorn myth has said + Horritt  
quite agrees with this. I enclose this  
with what Horritt has written but I only  
have one ancient paper.

FRAZER

Banno Creek

39

July 1. 1901

Dear Frazer,

By good chance

I have the opportunity of sending  
you down another line and am  
anxious to do so, because what I  
told you last time was not  
quite correct in regard to the  
eating of the totem here. We thought  
that we had it all right but  
found out that when the men  
told us they eat their totem as  
they said 'all day' it did not  
quite mean this. What really  
happens is as follows. The  
tribe (Kaitish) is of course  
divided into two main halves  
(each subdivided again) and  
speaking generally the totem groups  
are divided up between the two

man halves. A <sup>a</sup><sub>c</sub> B <sup>b</sup><sub>d</sub>

or A + B are the two main halves + a 2... g do the two

thirds what happens is this : -

A man son of A kills them animal f but before eating it he either send a small portion to the man B + then f or the wife of it: in either case the man B f eat a small portion + then all of the rest to men of A. This is done ~~elsewhere~~ <sup>elsewhere</sup> at all times of daily occurrence.

No man will eat ~~anything~~ the other of another man without first getting his permission to do so. On the other hand if a man say of A + then a eats too much of his own totten he will be as the

natives say "poison" (that is killed by a charmed tree) by men of B. for the visible reason that if he eat too much of his totten then he will lose the power of performing巫术 ceremonies and so of increasing it. At all the Totem (1) has to perform the ceremony for increasing it + (2) the other men must bring the Magic animal or plant to their help eating it themselves while (1) first eat a small part + (2) give them permission to eat it fully. In many ways this is quite interesting than merely getting the men "all day" without any restrictions whatever. as it seems very clearly to show that in their mind at present the one idea is that the men of the

totem are responsible for its persistence  
and what we have not had before  
the other men have them up to the  
mark by 'boning' them if they do  
anything which will impair their  
capacity for preserving the totemic  
animal.

In the water totem a  
man under penalty and alone is not  
allowed to help himself to water but has  
this given to him by certain special individuals.

Of course we don't want this published yet but if it be of service to you you are quite welcome to refer to it but please state that it is still subject to further inquiry though I think after much trouble that we have got to the bottom of it in this tribe. It does not sound much but you will understand how difficult it is to get at the precise truth with savages. We are getting on very fairly well but wade through endless traditions for here & there a speck of something of real value.

Yours very sincerely  
W. Baldwin Spencer.