Dear Howard,

I am two letters in your debt this time: the second was very welcome, but hardly excuse my writing more than once as I have been very busy. I have had a slight exam, the first of my final ones on in Physics, they make us take exams in most subjects before we can get to the real one for which we are working. It was not a very
important one & I really did very badly principally through not having worked for it. I hope to scrape through as it is rather bad for a scholar to miss Gram. But I certainly shan’t if they score bad marks as I simply made wild sketches of questions I knew nothing about & I can’t see what can be done in one or two cases without radically altering it: they will probably play with me in my voice. The lovely one in Oxford Exam. is still to be faced which you can most delightfully be made a part of, in public

Moreover: I am glad you so much enjoyed your trip it must have been very jolly indeed: I wish yesterday I could have had Cecil & you in when a friend of mine who is in for Records recounted his experience of the Divinity paper: it was killing: we knew he was a safe player as he is utterly ignorant of matters theological: they asked him who were Moses & Caraphas the former he recognised was “the woman who had an issue of blood’ Twelve get” the latter was one who “lied unto the Lord & was carried out dead”! It seems these are soberly
makes up or at all event he did
and wrote thus down though he certainly
thought they were right.
He did however tell them that Mark
was the one who fled away after putting
his garments on the night of the betrayal
because he said all the other evangelists
save Mark mentioned it the latter being
too modest!
He also informed
the commanders that "throughout the
Gospel Sa Luke might be traced the
anastly hand of St Peter": he fell
hopelessly into a muddle between prayer
and almsgiving - after talking of doing the
latter in secret said "our Lord also
left us an example of this always
returning into his closet when he was
giving alms".
He really sent
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these things in - I am afraid from many other verible hunters he made that the framers will think he was posturing them. With regard to the context "Physician heal thyself" he said "such were the foal rains of the jibing mob addressed to the Christ on the cross". There were lots of other quibbles but I cannot tell you more except this: he was asked what he knew of Jonas + his answer was "Jonas was the father of Simon Peter & him it was said as Jonas was in the belly 1" the whole three days & three nights so etc.

He had such a comical way of
Telling things that you would almost have died with laughing; they are perhaps rather poor to repeat. I am not quite sure about the first two in fact; I feel certain he did not send them in though it was rather a chance he did not as he had no idea how many they were.

To change the subject we have been enjoying a most glorious day though I have been in most of the time making arrangements for our convocation on Friday: we shall have most of the great gents of Oxford there. I think it will be a success: I am rather coming in for autographs as replies to invitations all come to me being 

It pleases me plenty to do so. I shall be here at the 1st of July at 3 o'clock or 4 or 5 if the weather is good. I will be proud to see another term. I must help to fly when that year is so divided up as ours is.

I don't quite understand what you mean about Kennis but perhaps you can explain when we meet. I shall be very glad to have a chat concerning things in general.
in particular: since you don't want
me to I won't say anything at all
yet, perhaps it is better not as
things are quite unsettled.
It is 9:30 so I must stop. Will
you please tell Emily I will write
here before we meet which will be
I hope next Saturday. I got
her short note with yours.
Goodbye till we meet which will
be when? Perhaps we can
make some arrangement.

Yours

I don't quite know when I shall be
back, but Edith will be with us next week